

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendment to claim 7. Claim 7 was inadvertently presented as a duplicate of claim 8 in Applicants' response to the Office Action of February 3, 2003, which response was filed on September 3, 2003. When compared with the claims as originally filed, it can be seen that original claim 8 appears twice, as claim 7 and again, correctly, as claim 8 in the Listing of Claims. The present amendment of claim 7 reinstates claim 7 as originally filed, except for the dependency from claim 6. Claim 7 was amended by Examiner's amendment to depend from claim 1 instead of claim 6 because of cancellation of claim 6. The requested amendment to claim 7 adds no new matter and presents no new issues.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding the amendment to claim 7, he is urged to call Applicants' representative Liza Hohenschutz at (302) 888-6420.

Respectfully submitted,
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Dated: May 27, 2004

By: Liza D. Hohenschutz
Liza D. Hohenschutz
Reg. No. 33,712
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
Attorney for Applicants